Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,293	LUDENSKY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application	· ·
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Shelly M. Fujikawa</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 November 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action da	ted 5/1/07	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:  Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
·		
•		
Peter a Auston		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendments to the claims and the cancelation of claims 16-18 were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the Ludensky and Sweeny Declarations presented 10/1/07, and the test results shown in instant Table 3. Shelly M. Fujikawa agreed to submit a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/540,293	LUDENSKY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Shelly M. Fujikawa</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 November 2007	Time:	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No	nt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
19 and 20		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to cancel claim 19 and to amend claim 20 as show accuracy, in view of page 5 line 31 through page 6 line 2, and pa		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan	y by the examiner appears in I	Part II above.
	•	
Peter a Shuskou	12 Ad. 11 = 6	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)